



CHILDREN'S SERVICES SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

11 January 2016

SECOND DESPATCH

Please find enclosed the following items:

Item 2	Alternative Provision: Witness Evidence - <i>School Witnesses Briefing Note</i>	1 - 2
Item 5	Review of Work Programme - <i>Revised Work Programme and Witness Evidence Plan</i>	3 - 8

Enquiries to : Jonathan Moore
Tel : 0207 527 3308
Email : democracy@islington.gov.uk



This page is intentionally left blank

Children's Services Scrutiny Committee

11 January 2016

Alternative Provision Review

Witness Evidence: School Representatives

School representatives providing witness evidence have been asked the following questions in advance of the committee meeting. These questions are reproduced in the agenda for information and to assist discussion at the meeting.

1. What do you think of the quality of service and delivery being provided in Alternative Provision? What's working? What could be changed or improved? How?
2. Is the current Alternative Provision system value for money?
3. What is the profile of students that you think are best served by Alternative Provision? Do think this will change?
4. The Local Authority is considering how early intervention with vulnerable children and families might reduce the number of students being referred to Alternative Provision – do you think this might be an effective approach and do you have any thoughts on how this could be structured?
5. How do you think providers could support students to achieve better outcomes?
6. Do you have any further thoughts on the structure and organisation of support for students on AP and how this could be improved – particularly in securing better and further qualifications for young people that then ensure secure pathways for progression?

This page is intentionally left blank

CHILDREN'S SERVICES SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

WORK PROGRAMME 2015/16

Revised January 2016

15 SEPTEMBER 2015

1. Work Programme 2015/16
2. Alternative Provision: Scrutiny Initiation Document and Presentation
3. Update on the Youth Crime Strategy
(to cover the engagement of adolescents)

9 NOVEMBER 2015

1. Alternative Provision: Witness Evidence
2. Child Protection Annual Report
3. Executive Member Questions
4. Review of Work Programme

11 JANUARY 2016

1. Islington Safeguarding Children Board: Annual Report
2. Alternative Provision: Witness Evidence
3. The Impact of SEN Changes on Children and Families
4. Executive Member Questions
5. Review of Work Programme

2 FEBRUARY 2016

1. Alternative Provision: Witness Evidence
2. Review of Work Programme

3 MARCH 2016

1. Alternative Provision: Witness Evidence
2. Engagement with and the Consistency of Early Years Provision
3. Executive Member Questions
4. Review of Work Programme

12 APRIL 2016

1. Alternative Provision: Draft Recommendations
2. Education in Islington: Annual Report 2015
3. The Educational Attainment of BME Children
4. Update on Youth Crime
5. Executive Member Questions

9 MAY 2016

1. Alternative Provision: Final Report
2. Executive Member Annual Presentation
3. Scrutiny Topics 2016/17

Children’s Service Scrutiny – Work Programme

Scrutiny topic: Alternative Provision

Our role as a scrutiny committee:

- To assess whether the range and quality of provision provides sufficient opportunities for attainment and clear pathways for progression;
- To consider whether accountability – for example by schools and the Council - for those in alternative provision is good enough;
- To review how to keep children in school and the use of Alternative Provision or exclusion as the last resort;
- To consider ways in which identification and early intervention support to pupils and their families, which improves attainment, resilience, empathy, self-awareness, attendance and other related outcomes, could be improved – early identification in education and early support;
- To make recommendations for innovation opportunities.

Focus

Provision quality and range	SID Objective 2. To identify how we can ensure that provision is of the highest quality. SID Objective 5. To evaluate the quality, standards and value for money of alternative provision providers, and the range of provision.
Pupil outcomes and accountability	SID Objective 3. To make recommendations to further improve the outcomes, attendance, and accountability for those in alternative provision. SID Objective 4. To identify how schools and academies are ensuring the best possible outcomes for the most vulnerable children, including their emotional well-being, and make recommendations about how best practice can be in place in all schools and Academies.
Prevention and early intervention	SID Objective 1. To identify how we can reduce the numbers of children on alternative provision SID Objective 6. To identify how early intervention and ‘Think Family’ approaches can be mainstreamed once the pump priming funding is no longer available.

Key questions

- How can pupils be ‘turned around’ before they become disengaged with their education? How can pupils and their parents/carers get the right help to support their needs?
- How do schools and academies retain full responsibility for learners they exclude or place in alternative provision – including the quality of their education and the outcomes they achieve?
- Is there a sufficient range of good or outstanding alternative provision which enables pupils to achieve good educational attainment on par with their peers regardless of circumstances or settings?
- What are the innovation opportunities that could secure better outcomes for this group of young people?

Work programme for alternative provision scrutiny

1. Additional documentation

- Outcomes in individual schools with contextual data such as attendance and exclusions
- Performance report for current AP providers and value for money
- School referring numbers and demographic information

2. Witness suggestions:

Who	Organisation/remit	Area of focus
Context		
Gabby Grodentz	Islington Council - Head of Alternative Provision	How alternative provision is set up in Islington
Pupil outcomes and accountability		
Sarah Bealey	Schools - Elizabeth Garrett Anderson	Policies and practices of schools; strategies for full ownership of pupils in AP; identifying and enabling pupils to overcome specific personal, social and academic needs; improving engagement with education; enabling good academic attainment; reintegration into mainstream education; partnership working.
Julie Chambers	Schools – Highbury Fields	
Tom Mannion	Schools – St Aloysius' College	
Provision quality and range		
John d'Abbro OBE	AP provider – New Rush Hall (LB Redbridge)	To provide a comparison with an AP service from another London borough.
Anna Cain	AP provider – The Boxing Academy	Outline of the provision provided to Islington (and other boroughs where relevant); how closely does provision match young people's needs; identifying and enabling pupils to overcome specific personal, social and academic needs; improving motivation, self-confidence, attendance and engagement with education; enable good academic attainment with appropriate accreditation and qualifications; and progression following the placement e.g. further education, employment, training or reintegration into mainstream education
Rebekah Westgate	AP provider – BSix	
Prevention and early intervention		
Nigel Smith	New River College	The role and practices of the New River College PRU.
Anthony Doudle	Islington Council – Head of School Improvement (Primary)	Early identification and intervention opportunities; primary to secondary transition.
Gabby Grodentz Kim Lawson	Islington Council – targeted and specialist early intervention support to pupils and their families: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New wraparound service for alternative provision • IFIT 	How schools, young people and parents are supported; what works; early success indicators

3. Work plan

9 November 2015: Witnesses – Provision quality and range		
Who	Organisation/remit	Area of focus
Gabby Grodentz	Islington Council – Head of Alternative Provision	Quality and range of provision in Islington
Anna Cain	The Boxing Academy	
Rebekah Westgate	BSix	

11 January 2016: Witnesses – Pupil outcomes and accountability		
Who	Organisation/remit	Area of focus
Sarah Bealey	Elizabeth Garrett Anderson School	Pupil outcomes and accountability / policies and practices of schools

2 February 2016: Witnesses – Prevention and early intervention / Pupil outcomes and accountability – *Extra evidence meeting*		
Who	Organisation/remit	Area of focus
Julie Chambers	Highbury Fields	Pupil outcomes and accountability / policies and practices of schools
Tom Mannion	St Aloysius' College	
Nigel Smith	New River College	Prevention and early intervention / The role and practices of the New River College PRU.

3 March 2016: Witnesses – Pupil outcomes and accountability / Prevention and early intervention		
Who	Organisation/remit	Area of focus
John d'Abbro OBE	New Rush Hall Group (TBC)	To provide a comparison with the way another borough commissions and delivers alternative provision, the way they work with partners, tackling the issue of schools retaining the responsibility for excluded students including quality of education and outcomes achieved.
Anthony Doudle	Islington Council – Head of School Improvement (Primary)	Early identification and intervention opportunities; primary to secondary transition.
Gabby Grodentz and Kim Lawson	Islington Council – targeted and specialist early intervention support to pupils and families <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New wraparound service for alternative provision • IFIT 	How schools, young people and parents are supported; what works; early success indicators

4. Visits

Visits (to take place between February and March)			
Who	Organisation/remit	Area of focus	When
Council officers	Council offices: 222 Upper Street	To meet officers supporting the Alternative Provision service and to discuss their views.	18 January 2016
Young people – past and present including their parents/carers	At a neutral location	To find out about young people's experiences of alternative provision, whether the provision and support was what they needed. How could alternative provision be more effective?	February/March 2016

5. Report

- **12 April 2016:** Draft recommendations
- **9 May 2016:** Final Report